# WEST CONTRA COSTA UNIFIED SCHOOL DISTRICT

## MEASURE D GENERAL OBLIGATION BONDS

FOR THE YEARS ENDED JUNE 30, 2002 and 2003

THOMPSON, COBB, BAZILIO & ASSOCIATES, PC Certified Public Accountants and Management, Systems and Financial Consultants

> 21250 Hawthorne Boulevard Suite 500 Torrance, California 90503 (310) 792-7001 (310) 792-7068

# WEST CONTRA COSTA UNIFIED SCHOOL DISTRICT

MEASURE D GENERAL OBLIGATION BONDS

FOR THE YEARS ENDED JUNE 30, 2002 and 2003

#### WEST CONTRA COSTA UNIFIED SCHOOL DISTRICT MEASURE D GENERAL OBLIGATION BONDS FOR THE YEARS ENDED JUNE 30, 2002 AND 2003

## Table of Contents

Independent Auditor's Report1
Financial Section
Schedule of Assets and Liabilities
Schedule of Revenues and Expenditures
Notes to Schedules
Supplementary Information Section
Bond Program – Purpose of Bond Issuance
Budget to Accumulated Actual Expenditures9
Budget and Accumulated Actual Expenditure Summary10
Budget and Accumulated Actual Expenditure Detail11

# Other Independent Auditor's Reports

Report on Compliance and on Internal Control Based On	
An Audit Performed In Accordance with Government Auditing	
Standards	20
Independent Auditor's Report on State Compliance	22
1 1 1	



# THOMPSON, COBB, BAZILIO & ASSOCIATES, PC Certified Public Accountants and Management, Systems, and Financial Consultants

21250 Hawthorne Boulevard Suite 500 Torrance, CA 90503 310-792-7001 Fax: 310-792-7068 1101 15<sup>th</sup> Street, N.W. Suite 400 Washington, DC 20005 202-737-3300 Fax: 202-737-2684 100 Pearl Street 14<sup>th</sup> Floor Hartford, CT 06103 203-249-7246 Fax: 203-275-6504

### INDEPENDENT AUDITOR'S REPORT

The Honorable Members of the Board of Education West Contra Costa Unified School District

We have audited the accompanying Schedules of the West Contra Costa Unified School District (the District) Measure D General Obligation Bonds (the Bonds), as of and for the years ended June 30, 2002 and 2003, as listed in the Table of Contents. These Schedules are the responsibility of West Contra Costa Unified School District's management. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on these financial statements based on our audit.

We conducted our audit in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America and the standards applicable to financial audits contained in *Government Auditing Standards*, issued by the Comptroller General of the United States of America. Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the Schedules of Assets and Liabilities and Revenue and Expenditures of the Bonds are free of material misstatement. An audit includes examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the amounts and disclosures in the Schedules. An audit also includes assessing the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall presentation. We believe that our audit provides a reasonable basis for our opinion.

In our opinion, the Schedules referred to above present fairly, in all material respects, the transactions of Measure D General Obligation Bonds of the District as of and for the years ended June 30, 2002, and 2003, in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.

In accordance with *Government Auditing Standards*, we have also issued our report, dated November 21, 2003 on **our** consideration of the District's internal control over financial reporting and on our tests of the District's compliance with certain provisions of laws, regulations, contracts and grants, as they relate to the Schedules. That report is an integral part of an audit performed in accordance with *Government Auditing Standards* and should be read in conjunction with this report in considering the results of our audit.

Our audit was conducted for the purpose of forming an opinion on the Schedules of the West Contra Costa Unified School District, Measure D General Obligation Bonds. The Schedules on pages 8 through 19 of this report are presented for purposes of additional analysis. Such information has been subjected to the auditing procedures applied in the audit of the Schedules and, in our opinion, is fairly stated, in all material respects, in relation to the Schedules taken as a whole.

Torrance, CA November 21, 2003

#### WEST CONTRA COSTA UNIFIED SCHOOL DISTRICT SCHEDULE OF ASSETS AND LIABILITIES AS OF JUNE 30, 2002 and 2003

	2003	2002
ASSETS		
Cash In County Treasury	\$ -	\$ -
Cash with Fiscal Agent	13,791	19,448
Investments (Note 2)	27,689,104	29,825,000
Interest Receivable	72,335	10,755
Total Assets	 27,775,230	 29,855,203
LIABILITIES		
Accounts Payable (Note 3)	 10,995,541	 1,401,860
Total Liabilities	 10,995,541	 1,401,860
EXCESS OF ASSETS OVER LIABILITIES	\$ 16,779,689	\$ 28,453,343



The accompanying notes are an integral part of this schedule.

#### WEST CONTRA COSTA UNIFIED SCHOOL DISTRICT SCHEDULE OF REVENUES and EXPENDITURES FOR THE YEARS ENDED JUNE 30, 2002 AND 2003

	2003	2002	TOTAL		
REVENUES					
Interest	\$ 925,837	\$ 10,755	\$ 936,592		
Total Revenues	925,837	10,755	936,592		
EXPENDITURES					
Non-capitalized equipment and supplies	1,294,367	-	1,294,367		
Professional services	129,662	251,222	380,884		
Capital outlay	10,849,785	28,690	10,878,475		
General equipment	325,677		325,677		
Total Expenditures	12,599,491	279,912	12,879,403		
EXCESS (DEFICIENCY) OF REVENUES					
OVER (UNDER) EXPENDITURES	(11,673,654)	(269,157)	(11,942,811)		
OTHER FINANCING SOURCES (USES)					
Proceeds from bond sales	-	30,000,000	30,000,000		
Interfund Transfers Out (Note 4)	<u> </u>	(1,277,500)	(1,277,500)		
Total Other Financing Sources (Uses)		28,722,500	28,722,500		
EXCESS (DEFICIENCY) OF REVENUE AND					
OTHER FINANCING SOURCES OVER					
(UNDER) EXPENDITURES	\$ (11,673,654)	\$28,453,343	\$ 16,779,689		



The accompanying notes are an integral part of this schedule.

3

#### WEST CONTRA COSTA UNIFIED SCHOOL DISTRICT NOTES TO SCHEUDLES JUNE 30, 2002 and 2003

## NOTE 1: SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES

The accounting policies of the West Contra Costa Unified School District conform to accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America as applicable to governments and to general practices within California school districts. The District accounts for its financial transactions in accordance with the policies and procedures of the Department of Education's *California School Accounting Manual*. The accounting policies of the District conform to accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America as prescribed by the Governmental Accounting Standards Board (GASB). The following is a summary of the significant accounting policies:

## A. Basis of Accounting

Basis of accounting refers to when revenues and expenditures or expenses are recognized in the accounts and reported in the financial statements. Basis of accounting relates to the timing of measurement made, regardless of the measurement focus applied.

The Schedules are presented on the modified accrual basis of accounting. Under the modified accrual basis of accounting, revenues are recorded when susceptible to accrual; i.e., both measurable and available. "Available" means collectible within the current period or within 60 days after year-end. Expenditures are generally recognized under the modified accrual basis of accounting when the related liability is incurred. The exception to this general rule is that principal and interest on general obligation long-term debt, if any, is recognized when due.

# B. Budgets and Budgetary Accounting

Annual budgets are adopted on a basis consistent with generally accepted accounting principles for all government funds. By state law, the District's governing board must adopt a final budget no later than July 1. A public hearing must be conducted to receive comments prior to adoption. The District's governing board satisfied these requirements.

The District's governing board and superintendent revise the budgets during the year to give consideration to unanticipated income and expenditures.

As a first step in developing the bond construction program, the District together with its Bond team consultants, completed an evaluation of all elementary and secondary schools in order to develop a recommended priority ranking of elementary, middle and high schools. This ranking was based on several factors including structural design and safety considerations. Based on this evaluation, Elementary and Secondary schools of the District were ranked in order of need on separate lists with the most needy schools being ranked highest. In addition, preliminary budgets were developed for each school based on the evaluation. These listings and preliminary budgets became the basis for the District's governing board and superintendent to authorize work to continue into design drawings for first five secondary campuses. Throughout this process, cost estimations were completed and budgets were revised. The budgets presented in this report are based on the most up to date information available. As milestones are reached in the design phase and as construction bids are approved, the individual school project budgets will be revised and presented to the governing board for their review and approval.



#### WEST CONTRA COSTA UNIFIED SCHOOL DISTRICT NOTES TO SCHEUDLES JUNE 30, 2002 and 2003

## **NOTE 1:** SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES (Continued)

The District's construction program is not based solely on the proceeds from bonds. The District has other sources of revenue to fund some of the required expenditures. Budgets for the renovation of all schools are prepared and maintained together with all potential resources in order to keep track of the entire school renovation program, not just the sites being funded by currently available bond dollars. The supplementary information included in this report is based on the project based budget concept and therefore, presents the total project budget for all schools of the District together with all potential revenue sources rather then just the campuses being worked on at the present time.

Formal budgetary integration was employed as a management control device during the year for all budgeted funds. The District employs budget control by minor object and by individual appropriation accounts. Expenditures cannot legally exceed appropriations by major object account.

## C. Accounting Estimates

The presentation of Schedules in conformity with generally accepted accounting principles requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities and disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities at the date of the Schedules and the reported amounts of revenues and expenditures during the reporting period. Actual results could differ from those estimates.

## D. Encumbrances

Encumbrance accounting is used in all budgeted funds to reserve portions of applicable appropriations for which commitments have been made. Encumbrances are recorded for purchase orders, contracts, and other commitments when they are written. Encumbrances are liquidated when the commitments are paid. All encumbrances are liquidated as of June 30.

## NOTE 2: INVESTMENTS

The District directs the County Treasurer to invest excess funds in the Local Agency Investment Fund (LAIF). The fund is an investment pool managed by the California State Treasurer. Monies in Measure D can be invested in any one or more investments generally permitted to school districts under the laws of the State including those authorized in the Resolution.

## **NOTE 3:** ACCOUNTS PAYABLE

Accounts Payable is comprised of payments due to vendors and LAIF:

	Due	to Vendor	D	ue to LAIF	Total
June 30, 2002	\$	29,770	\$	1,372,090	\$ 1,401,860
June 30, 2003	\$	1,511,781	\$	9,483,760	\$ 10,995,541



#### WEST CONTRA COSTA UNIFIED SCHOOL DISTRICT NOTES TO SCHEUDLES JUNE 30, 2002 and 2003

## NOTE 4: INTERFUND TRANSFERS IN/OUT

Interfund Activities are reported as either loans and services provided, reimbursements or transfers. Interfund transfers consist of operating transfers from funds receiving resources to funds through which the resources are to be expended. There were no interfund transfers in 2003. The interfund transaction for June 30, 2002 is as follows:

	T	ransfer Out	Transfer In		
Measure D Bond	\$	(1,277,500)	\$	-	
Deferred Maintenance Fund		-		1,277,500	
Total	\$	(1,277,500)	\$	1,277,500	

## **NOTE 5:** GENERAL OBLIGATION BOND ISSUES

The bonds are general obligations of the district, and Contra Costa County is obligated to levy ad valorem taxes for the payment of and interest on, and the principal of, the bonds. The Bond Interest and Redemption Fund is maintained by the County Treasurer and is used to account for both the accumulation of resources from ad valorem tax levies and the interest and redemption of principal of the fund of the Bonds issued by the District.

In 2002, the District received authorization through Measure D from the March 5, 2002, election to issue up to \$300,000,000 of bonds. The bonds are general obligations of the District and Contra Costa County is obligated to levy ad valorem taxes for the payment of the interest on and the principal of the bonds.

On June 13, 2002, the District issued \$30,000,000 of Measure D, Series A bonds to fund the acquisition and construction of real property, modernization of school facilities. The interest rate ranges from 4.25% to 7%. The final maturity date is August 1, 2031.

# SUPPLEMENTAL INFORMATION



#### WEST CONTRA COSTA UNIFIED SCHOOL DISTRICT MEASURE D PURPOSE OF BOND ISSUANCE

#### **BOND AUTHORIZATION**

By approval of the proposition for Measure D by at least 55% of the registered voters voting on the proposition, the West Contra Costa Unified School District was authorized to issue and sell bonds of up to \$300,000,000 in aggregate principal amount to provide financing for the specific school facilities projects listed in the Bond Project List included in the measure.

#### BOND PROJECT LIST

The Bond Project List, which is the an integral part of the proposition, lists the specific projects the District proposes to finance with proceeds of the bonds. Listed repairs, rehabilitation projects and upgrades will be completed as needed at individual campuses. Each project is assumed to include its share of costs of the election and bond issuance, architectural, engineering and similar planning costs, construction management and customary contingency for unforeseen design and construction costs. The final cost of each project will be determined as plans are finalized, construction bids are awarded and projects are completed. In addition, certain construction funds expected form non-bond sources, including State grant funds for eligible projects, have not yet been secured. Therefore the Board of Education cannot guarantee that the bonds will provide sufficient funds to allow completion of all listed projects.

## FURTHER SPECIFICATIONS

## NO ADMINISTRATOR SALARIES

Proceeds from the sale of bonds authorized by this proposition shall be used only for the construction, reconstruction, rehabilitation, or replacement of school facilities, including the furnishing and equipping of school facilities or the acquisition or lease of real property for school facilities and not for any other purpose, including teacher and administrator salaries and other school operating expenses.

		PROJECT	FY	PENDITURES			% OF BUDGET
	BUDGET		LA	TO DATE		ARIANCE	REMAINING
EXPENDITURES		bebulli			•.		
Non-capitalized equipment and supplies	\$	-	\$	1,294,367	\$	(1,294,367)	1
Professional Services		-		380,884		(380,884)	1
Rentals, Leases and Repairs		31,585,409		-		31,585,409	)
Buildings and improvements		779,007,672		10,878,475		768,129,197	,
General Equipment		-		325,677		(325,677)	
Total expenditures		810,593,081	\$	12,879,403	\$	797,713,678	98%
Planned Revenue Sources:							
Measure D Bond		300,000,000					
State Funding on Phase 1A Projects		17,636,289					
State Growth Funding		2,898,155					
Capital Facilities Fund		12,800,000					
Potential Joint Use Projects		2,000,000					
Interest		6,000,000					
Total Projected Funding		341,334,444					
Additional Funding Required	\$	469,258,637					



					%	% CONSTRUCTION
		PROJECT	EXPE	NDITURES	BUDGET	COMPLETED
SCHOOL/PROJECT DESCRIPTION	PHASE	BUDGET			REMAINING	TO DATE
Adams Middle	Phase 1B	\$ 42,834,869	) \$	364,207	99%	0%
Crespi Middle	Phase 2B	38,494,363	3	350,859	99%	0%
De Anza High/Delta Nss	Phase 1A	107,000,000	)	844,459	99%	0%
DeJean Middle	Phase 1A	1,284,709	)	1,556,544	-21%	0%
El Cerrito High	Phase 1A	89,000,000	)	656,699	99%	0%
Gompers Continuation High	Phase 1B	34,036,112	2	402,142	99%	0%
Harbour Way Community Day	Phase 2B	3,665,811	l	151,969	96%	0%
Helms Middle	Phase 1A	63,000,000	)	473,858	99%	0%
Hercules Middle/Hercules High	Phase 1B	2,632,685	5	3,133,324	-16%	6%
Kennedy High/Kappa Nss	Phase 1B	80,390,258	3	854,693	100%	0%
New Middle School	Phase 1B	65,502,270	5	-	100%	0%
North Campus/Transition Learning Center	Phase 2B	22,453,732	2	323,553	99%	0%
Richmond High/Omega Nss	Phase 1B	89,851,858	3	815,913	100%	0%
Pinole Middle	Phase 1A	40,000,000	)	353,758	99%	0%
Pinole Valley High/Sigma Nss	Phase 2B	73,388,192	2	719,584	99%	0%
Portola Middle	Phase 1A	39,000,000	)	411,110	99%	0%
Vista High	Phase 2B	18,058,210	5	147,675	99%	0%
Operational Support Services *	ALL	-	-	1,319,056	-100%	0%
Totals	_	810,593,081	\$	12,879,403	98%	1%
	_					
Planned Revenue Sources:						
Measure D Bond		300,000,000	)			
State Funding on Phase 1A Projects		17,636,289	)			
State Growth Funding		2,898,155	5			
Capital Facilities Fund		12,800,000	)			
Potential Joint Use Projects		2,000,000	)			
Interest	_	6,000,000	)			
<b>Total Projected Funding</b>	-	341,334,444	<u>+</u>			
Additional Funding Required	=	\$ 469,258,637	7			

\* - To be Allocated to all Projects based on total Project Cost.

%

## SITE # 202 - ADAMS MIDDLE

				, ,
	PROJECT	EXPENDITURES		OF BUDGET
	BUDGET	TO DATE	VARIANCE	REMAINING
Leases - Temporary Housing	\$ 3,058,300	\$ -	\$ 3,058,300	100%
Construction	29,036,756	-	29,036,756	100%
Architect Fees	5,802,622	-	5,802,622	100%
Preliminary Tests	347,490	-	347,490	100%
Site Surveys	70,847	-	70,847	100%
DSA Plan Check Fees	229,411	-	229,411	100%
CDE Plan Check Fees	33,737	-	33,737	100%
Planning	1,373,091	364,207	1,008,884	73%
Construction Testing	553,285	-	553,285	100%
Inspection Services	428,458	-	428,458	100%
Project Contingency and District Services	1,900,872	-	1,900,872	100%
TOTAL COSTS	\$42,834,869	\$ 364,207	\$42,470,662	99%

#### SITE # 206 - CRESPI MIDDLE

					0⁄0
	PROJECT	EXPE	ENDITURES		OF BUDGET
	BUDGET	Т	O DATE	VARIANCE	REMAINING
Leases - Temporary Housing	\$ 2,850,050	\$	-	\$ 2,850,050	100%
Construction	25,992,778		-	25,992,778	100%
Architect Fees	5,214,636		-	5,214,636	100%
Preliminary Tests	312,278		-	312,278	100%
Site Surveys	63,668		-	63,668	100%
DSA Plan Check Fees	206,164		-	206,164	100%
CDE Plan Check Fees	30,318		-	30,318	100%
Planning	1,233,954		350,859	883,095	72%
Construction Testing	497,220	)	-	497,220	100%
Inspection Services	385,042		-	385,042	100%
Project Contingency and District Services	1,708,255		-	1,708,255	100%
TOTAL COSTS	\$38,494,363	\$	350,859	\$38,143,504	99%

%

## SITE # 352- DE ANZA HIGH SITE # 391 DELTA NSS

				70
	PROJECT	EXPENDITURES		OF BUDGET
_	BUDGET	TO DATE	VARIANCE	REMAINING
Leases - Temporary Housing	\$ 1,750,000	\$ -	\$ 1,750,000	0 100%
Construction	75,498,883	79,709	75,419,174	4 100%
Architect Fees	13,145,331	56,128	13,089,203	3 100%
Preliminary Tests	787,208	-	787,208	8 100%
Site Surveys	160,499	-	160,499	9 100%
DSA Plan Check Fees	519,710	-	519,710	0 100%
CDE Plan Check Fees	76,428	-	76,428	8 100%
Planning	3,110,617	705,000	2,405,61	7 77%
Construction Testing	1,253,418	-	1,253,418	8 100%
Inspection Services	970,635	-	970,63	5 100%
Project Contingency and District Services	9,727,273	-	9,727,273	3 100%
General Equipment	-	3,622	(3,622	) -100%
TOTAL COSTS	\$ 107,000,000	\$ 844,459	\$ 106,155,542	1 99%

# SITE # 208 - DEJEAN MIDDLE

							%
	]	PROJECT	EXPE	NDITURES			OF BUDGET
		BUDGET	T	D DATE	VA	RIANCE	REMAINING
Non-capitalized equipment and supplies	\$	-	\$	377,373	\$	(377,373)	-100%
Construction		1,284,709		-		1,284,709	100%
Other Supplies		-		47,753		(47,753)	-100%
Non-capital Equipment		-		831,157		(831,157)	-100%
General Equipment		-		300,261		(300,261)	-100%
TOTAL COSTS	\$	1,284,709	\$	1,556,544	\$	(271,835)	-21%

%

#### SITE # 354-EL CERRITO HIGH

				, ,
	PROJECT	EXPENDITURES		OF BUDGET
	BUDGET	TO DATE	VARIANCE	REMAINING
Leases - Temporary Housing	\$ 2,450,000	\$	- \$ 2,450,000	) 100%
Construction	61,779,818	51,613	61,728,205	5 100%
Architect Fees	10,949,674	53,249	10,896,425	5 100%
Preliminary Tests	655,721		- 655,721	100%
Inspection Services	808,510		- 808,510	) 100%
DSA Plan Check Fees	432,903		- 432,903	3 100%
CDE Plan Check Fees	63,662		- 63,662	2 100%
Planning	2,591,052	548,215	5 2,042,837	7 79%
Construction Testing	1,044,060		- 1,044,060	) 100%
Site Surveys	133,691		- 133,691	100%
Project Contingency and District Services	8,090,910		- 8,090,910	) 100%
General Equipment	-	3,622	2 (3,622)	) -100%
TOTAL COSTS	\$89,000,000	\$ 656,699	\$ 88,343,301	99%

## SITE # 358 - GOMPERS CONTINUATION HIGH

	PROJECT BUDGET	EXPENDITURES TO DATE	VARIANCE	% OF BUDGET REMAINING
Leases - Temporary Housing	\$ 437,325	\$	\$ 437,325	5 100%
Construction	25,065,050	18,464	25,046,586	5 100%
Architect Fees	4,610,700		4,610,700	100%
Preliminary Tests	276,112		- 276,112	2 100%
Site Surveys	56,295		- 56,295	5 100%
DSA Plan Check Fees	182,287		- 182,287	7 100%
CDE Plan Check Fees	26,807		- 26,807	100%
Planning	1,091,043	383,617	707,426	65%
Construction Testing	439,634		439,634	4 100%
Inspection Services	340,448		- 340,448	3 100%
Project Contingency and District Services	1,510,412		1,510,412	2 100%
General Equipment		61	(61)	-100%
TOTAL COSTS	\$34,036,112	\$ 402,142	\$33,633,970	) 99%



## SITE # 191 - HARBOUR WAY COMMUNITY DAY

				%
	PROJECT	EXPENDITURES		OF BUDGET
	BUDGET	TO DATE	VARIANCE	REMAINING
Leases - Temporary Housing	\$ 101,150	- \$	\$ 101,150	) 100%
Construction	2,645,547	, –	2,645,547	7 100%
Architect Fees	496,589	) _	496,589	0 100%
Preliminary Tests	29,738	; -	29,738	3 100%
Site Surveys	6,063		6,063	3 100%
DSA Plan Check Fees	19,633	, -	19,633	3 100%
CDE Plan Check Fees	2,887	-	2,887	7 100%
Planning	117,509	151,969	(34,460)	) -29%
Construction Testing	47,350	) –	47,350	) 100%
Inspection Services	36,667	-	36,667	7 100%
Project Contingency and District Services	162,677	-	162,677	7 100%
TOTAL COSTS	\$ 3,665,811	\$ 151,969	\$ 3,513,842	2 96%

### SITE # 210 - HELMS MIDDLE

				%
	PROJECT BUDGET	EXPENDITURES TO DATE	VADIANCE	OF BUDGET REMAINING
		-		
Leases - Temporary Housing	\$ 700,000	\$ -	\$ 700,000	100%
Construction	44,741,910	-	44,741,910	100%
Architect Fees	7,766,741	41,056	7,725,685	99%
Preliminary Tests	465,111	-	465,111	100%
Site Surveys	94,828	-	94,828	100%
DSA Plan Check Fees	307,064	-	307,064	100%
CDE Plan Check Fees	45,156	-	45,156	100%
Planning	1,837,866	432,802	1,405,064	76%
Construction Testing	740,565	-	740,565	<b>100%</b>
Inspection Services	573,486	-	573,486	100%
Project Contingency and District Services	5,727,273	-	5,727,273	100%
TOTAL COSTS	\$63,000,000	\$ 473,858	\$62,526,142	99%



## SITE # 211 - HERCULES MIDDLE SITE # 376 – HERCULES HIGH

	PROJECT BUDGET	EXPENDITURES TO DATE		•		VARIA	NCE	% OF BUDGET REMAINING
Non-capitalized equipment and supplies	\$ -	\$	38,085	\$ (3	38,085)	-100%		
Leases - Temporary Housing	128,238		-	1	28,238	100%		
Site Improvements	-		382,342	(38	32,342)	-100%		
Construction	1,844,365		2,016,112	(17	71,747)	(9)%		
Architect Fees	356,636		428,141	(7	71,505)	(20)%		
Preliminary Tests	21,357		-		21,357	100%		
Inspection Services	26,334		43,300	(í.	16,966)	(64)%		
Site Surveys	4,354		-		4,354	100%		
DSA Plan Check Fees	14,100		12,868		1,232	9%		
CDE Plan Check Fees	2,074		-		2,074	100%		
Planning	84,392		175,940	(9	91,548)	(108)%		
Construction Testing	34,005		32,914		1,091	3%		
Project Contingency and District Services	116,830		-	1	16,830	100%		
General Equipment	-		3,622		(3,622)	-100%		
TOTAL COSTS	\$2,632,685	\$	3,133,324	\$(50	00,639)	(19)%		

## SITE # 393 - KAPPA NSS SITE # 360 - KENNEDY HIGH

					/0
	PROJECT	E	<b>XPENDITURES</b>		OF BUDGET
	BUDGET		TO DATE	VARIANCE	REMAINING
Leases - Temporary Housing	\$ 3,147,550	\$	-	\$ 3,147,550	100%
Construction	57,086,784		154,889	56,931,895	100%
Architect Fees	10,890,061		-	10,890,061	100%
Preliminary Tests	652,151		-	652,151	100%
Site Surveys	132,963		-	132,963	100%
DSA Plan Check Fees	430,546		-	430,546	100%
CDE Plan Check Fees	63,316		-	63,316	100%
Planning	2,576,946		696,182	1,880,764	73%
Construction Testing	1,038,376		-	1,038,376	100%
Inspection Services	804,108		-	804,108	100%
Project Contingency and District Services	3,567,458		-	3,567,458	100%
General Equipment	-		3,622	(3,622)	-100%
TOTAL COSTS	\$80,390,258	\$	854,693	\$79,535,565	99%

%



## SITE # 374 - NORTH CAMPUS SITE # 131- TRANS LEARNING CENTER

					%
	PROJ	ECT	EXPENDITURES		OF BUDGET
	BUD	GET	TO DATE	VARIANCE	REMAINING
Leases - Temporary Housing	\$	919,275 \$	-	\$ 919,275	100%
Construction	15	,904,723	-	15,904,723	100%
Architect Fees	3	,041,693	-	3,041,693	100%
Preliminary Tests		182,152	-	182,152	100%
Site Surveys		37,138	-	37,138	100%
DSA Plan Check Fees		120,255	-	120,255	100%
CDE Plan Check Fees		17,685	-	17,685	100%
Planning		719,764	323,553	396,211	55%
Construction Testing		290,028	-	290,028	100%
Inspection Services		224,595	-	224,595	100%
Project Contingency and District Services		996,424	-	996,424	100%
TOTAL COSTS	\$ 22	2,453,732 \$	323,553	\$ 22,130,179	99%

## SITE # 395 – OMEGA NSS SITE # 364 – RICHMOND HIGH

						%
	PI	ROJECT	ΕX	<b>EXPENDITURES</b>		OF BUDGET
	B	UDGET		TO DATE	VARIANCE	REMAINING
Leases - Temporary Housing	\$	5,393,675		\$ -	\$ 5,393,675	100%
Construction		61,929,990		73,950	61,856,040	100%
Architect Fees		12,171,776		-	12,171,776	100%
Preliminary Tests		728,906		-	728,906	100%
Inspection Services		898,749		-	898,749	100%
DSA Plan Check Fees		481,220		-	481,220	100%
CDE Plan Check Fees		70,768		-	70,768	100%
Planning		2,880,241		738,341	2,141,900	74%
Construction Testing		1,160,589		-	1,160,589	100%
Site Surveys		148,612		-	148,612	100%
Project Contingency and District Services		3,987,333		-	3,987,333	100%
General Equipment		-		3,622	(3,622)	-100%
TOTAL COSTS	\$	89,851,858		\$ 815,913	\$ 89,035,945	99%



#### SITE # 212 - PINOLE MIDDLE

				%
	PROJECT	EXPENDITURES		OF BUDGET
	BUDGET	TO DATE	VARIANCE	REMAINING
Leases - Temporary Housing	\$ 1,050,000	\$ -	\$ 1,050,000	100%
Construction	27,502,154	-	27,502,154	· 100%
Architect Fees	5,128,113	27,038	5,101,075	99%
Preliminary Tests	307,097	-	307,097	100%
Inspection Services	378,653	-	378,653	100%
DSA Plan Check Fees	202,744	-	202,744	100%
CDE Plan Check Fees	29,815	-	29,815	100%
Planning	1,213,480	323,098	890,382	2 73%
Construction Testing	488,970	-	488,970	100%
Site Surveys	62,612	-	62,612	100%
Project Contingency and District Services	3,636,364	-	3,636,364	- 100%
General Equipment		3,622	(3,622)	-100%
TOTAL COSTS	\$40,000,000	\$ 353,758	\$39,646,242	99%

### SITE # 362 - PINOLE VALLEY HIGH SITE # 396 - SIGMA NSS

	PROJECT BUDGET	EXPEND TO D		VARIANCE	% OF BUDGET REMAINING
Leases - Temporary Housing	\$ 5,093,200	\$	-	\$ 5,093,200	100%
Construction	49,894,668		23,012	49,871,656	100%
Architect Fees	9,941,526		-	9,941,526	100%
Preliminary Tests	595,348		-	595,348	100%
Inspection Services	734,070		-	734,070	100%
DSA Plan Check Fees	393,045		-	393,045	100%
CDE Plan Check Fees	57,801		-	57,801	100%
Planning	2,352,491		<b>692,95</b> 0	1,659,541	71%
Site Surveys	121,382		-	121,382	100%
Construction Testing	947,933		-	947,933	100%
Project Contingency and District Services	3,256,730		-	3,256,730	100%
General Equipment			3,622	(3,622)	-100%
TOTAL COSTS	\$73,388,192	\$	719,584	\$72,668,608	99%



## SITE # 214- PORTOLA MIDDLE

				%
	PROJECT E	EXPENDITURES		OF BUDGET
	BUDGET	TO DATE	VARIANCE	REMAINING
Leases - Temporary Housing	\$ 1,050,000	\$ -	\$ 1,050,000	100%
Construction	26,913,887	-	26,913,887	100%
Architect Fees	4,917,497	32,978	4,884,519	99%
Preliminary Tests	294,484	-	294,484	- 100%
Inspection Services	363,102	-	363,102	100%
DSA Plan Check Fees	194,417	-	194,417	100%
CDE Plan Check Fees	28,591	-	28,591	100%
Planning	1,163,641	378,132	785,509	68%
Site Surveys	60,040	-	60,040	100%
Construction Testing	468,887	-	468,887	100%
Project Contingency and District Services	3,545,455	-	3,545,455	100%
TOTAL COSTS	\$ 39,000,000	\$ 411,110	\$ 38,588,890	99%

## SITE # 373 - VISTA HIGH -INDEPENDENT STUDY

	PROJECT E BUDGET	EXPENDITURES TO DATE	VARIANCE	% OF BUDGET REMAINING
Leases - Temporary Housing	\$ 889,525	\$ -	\$ 889,525	
Construction	12,641,027	-	12,641,027	100%
Architect Fees	2,446,255	-	2,446,255	5 100%
Preliminary Tests	146,494	-	146,494	100%
Inspection Services	180,628	-	180,628	3 100%
DSA Plan Check Fees	96,714	-	96,714	100%
CDE Plan Check Fees	14,223	-	14,223	<b>3</b> 100%
Planning	578,864	147,675	431,189	<b>74%</b>
Site Surveys	29,868	-	29,868	3 100%
Construction Testing	233,252	-	233,252	2 100%
Project Contingency and District Services	801,365	-	801,365	5 100%
TOTAL COSTS	\$ 18,058,215	\$ 147,675	\$ 17,910,540	) 99%

## SITE # 615- OPERATIONAL SUPPORT SERVICES

	PROJECT EXPENDITURES			OF BUDGET			
	BUDGET		TO DATE		VARIANCE		REMAINING
Election Expense	\$	-	\$	81,170	\$	(81,170)	-100%
Consultants/Review		-		182,948		(182,948)	-100%
Other Services/Operations		-		104,751		(104,751)	-100%
Audit Expense		-		12,000		(12,000)	-100%
Postage		-		15		(15)	-100%
Planning		-		938,172		(938,172)	-100%
TOTAL COSTS	\$	-	\$	1,319,056	\$(	(1,319,056)	-100%

%

# THOMPSON, COBB, BAZILIO & ASSOCIATES, PC Certified Public Accountants and Management, Systems, and Financial Consultants

21250 Hawthorne Boulevard Suite 500 Torrance, CA 90503 310-792-7001 Fax: 310-792-7068 1101 15<sup>th</sup> Street, N.W. Suite 400 Washington, DC 20005 202-737-3300 Fax: 202-737-2684

100 Pearl Street 14<sup>th</sup> Floor Hartford, CT 06103 203-249-7246 Fax: 203-275-6504

#### REPORT ON COMPLIANCE AND ON INTERNAL CONTROLOVER FINANCIAL REPORTING BASED ON AN AUDIT PERFORMED IN ACCORDANCE WITH *GOVERNMENT AUDITING STANDARDS*

The Honorable Board of Education West Contra Costa Unified School District

We have audited the accompanying Schedules of Assets and Liabilities and Revenues and Expenditures (the Schedules) of the West Contra Costa Unified School District (the District) for the Measure D General Obligation Bonds (the Bonds), as of and for the years ended June 30, 2002 and 2003 and have issued our report thereon dated November 21, 2003. We have conducted our audit in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America and the standards applicable to financial audits contained in *Government Auditing Standards*, issued by the Comptroller General of the United States.

## Compliance

Specifically we tested, compliance with laws, regulations, and contracts applicable to personal services, employee benefits, travel consulting services and operating expenses. As part of obtaining reasonable assurance about whether the District's Schedules are free of material misstatement, we performed tests of the District's compliance with certain provisions of laws, regulations and contracts, noncompliance with which could have a direct material effect on the determination of amounts shown on the Schedule. However, providing an opinion on compliance with those provisions was not an objective of our audit and, accordingly, we do not express such an opinion. The results of our tests disclosed no instances of noncompliance that are required to be reported under *Government Auditing Standards*.

## Internal Control Over Financial Reporting

In planning and performing our audit, we considered the District's internal control over financial reporting in order to determine our auditing procedures for the purpose of expressing our opinion on the Schedules and not to provide assurance on the internal control over financial reporting. Our consideration of the internal controls over financial reporting applicable to the Schedules would not necessarily disclose all matters in the internal control over financial reporting that might be material weaknesses.

A material weakness is a condition in which the design or operation of one or more of the internal control components does not reduce to a relatively low level the risk of misstatements in amounts that would be material in relation to the financial statements being audited may occur and not detected within a timely period by employees in the normal course of performing their assigned functions. We noted no matters involving the internal control over financial reporting and its operation that we consider to be material weaknesses. However, we noted other matters involving the internal control over financial reporting that we have reported to management of the District in a separate letter dated November 21, 2003.



This report is intended for the information of the Board of Education, Citizen's Oversight Committee and West Contra Costa Unified School District's management and is not intended and should not be used by anyone other than these specified parties.

Torrance, California November 21, 2003

# THOMPSON, COBB, BAZILIO & ASSOCIATES, PC Certified Public Accountants and Management, Systems, and Financial Consultants

21250 Hawthorne Boulevard Suite 500 Torrance, CA 90503 310-792-7001 Fax: 310-792-7068 1101 15<sup>th</sup> Street, N.W. Suite 400 Washington, DC 20005 202-737-3300 Fax: 202-737-2684 100 Pearl Street 14<sup>th</sup> Floor Hartford, CT 06103 203-249-7246 Fax: 203-275-6504

### INDEPENDENT AUDITOR'S REPORT ON STATE COMPLIANCE

The Honorable Members of the Board of Education West Contra Costa Unified School District

We have audited the accompanying Schedules of Assets and Liabilities and Revenue and Expenditures (the Schedules) of the West Contra Unified School District (the District) Measure D General Obligation Bond, as of and for the years ended June 30, 2002 and 2003. Our audit was made in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America and the standards for financial and compliance audits contained in *Government Auditing Standards*, issued by the Comptroller General of the United States. Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the Schedules are free of material misstatement. An audit includes examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the amounts and disclosures in the Schedules. An audit also includes assessing the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall Schedules presentation. We believe that our audit provides reasonable basis for our opinion. Our audit does not provide a legal determination on the District's compliance with specified requirements.

The District's management is responsible for the District's compliance with laws and regulations. In connection with the audit referred to above, we selected and tested transactions and records to determine the District's compliance with the following requirements:

- Bond proceeds are used only on projects, which were voter approved
- Pubic Contract Code
- Governmental Code

Based on our audit, we found that, for the items tested, the West Contra Costa Unified School District complied with the state laws and regulations referred to above. Further, based on our examination for items not tested, nothing came to our attention to indicate that the West Contra Unified School District has not complied with the state laws and regulations.

This report is intended for the information of the Board of Education, Citizen's Oversight Committee and West Contra Costa Unified School District's management and is not intended and should not be used by anyone other than these specified parties

Torrance, California November 21, 2003

